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**Abstract.** Viscoelastic quasi-linear analytical models, as Fung, was implemented through the utilization of experimental results obtained from several porcine ligaments as: lateral collateral ligament (LCL), anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) and medial collateral ligament (MCL). To implement quasi-linear viscoelastic models for soft tissues, as the Fung one, it was necessary the utilization of a programming language, as C Sharp, and Object-oriented programming to deal with the model’s mathematical demands, as the convolution calculations. Moreover, those technologies allow to reduce the code execution time, which was one of the main challenges. The numerical implementation of Fung's model reproduces successfully the stress evolution in relaxation tests, including the noticeable change in ligaments stiffnesses after sequential relaxation tests.
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1. Introduction

The knee is one of the most complex joints of the body and it is subjected to different loadings. The description of the mechanical behavior of the knee ligaments can be very useful to aid to model, quantitatively, the knee performance. Thus, researches have been published attempting to macroscopically analyze the knee ligaments/tendons through different viscoelastic mechanical models. (Rossetto, 2009) shows that this knowledge is important to better support the decisions relative to physical training, such as in cases of therapy for tendinopathies. (Bernardes et. al, 2005) sought to determine the biomechanical parameters for modeling the human knee joint through extensive exercises, together with images obtained by videofluoroscope, where the viscoelasticity was accessed.

Viscoelasticity is understood as the property of materials that present viscous and elastic behavior at the same time, which concept is widely used in various sectors of the industry. The simplest viscoelastic model is one that considers linear functions, where the creep compliance and stress relaxation functions are depending only of time. This approach is commonly used for metals. (Tareco, 2014) uses Maxwell and Kelvin linear models to design a steel-concrete structures, analyzing the relaxation and creep compliance, just for the concrete in the mixed structure response. Moreover, as presented by (Queiroz, 2008), viscoelastic materials are also used to attenuate vibrations and noise in structures, having application in both the automotive and aerospace sectors.

The quasi-linear viscoelastic model, proposed by (Fung, 1993), is commonly used for soft tissues research to describe its behavior close to reality. (Piazza et al., 2001) developed a three-dimensional dynamic model of the tibiofemoral and patellofemoral articulations to predict the knee implant movements during a step-up activity. They were based on the Fung’s model, using dynamic equations of motion subjected to forces generated by muscles, ligaments, and contact at articulations. Good results were achieved for the flexion-extension angle of the knee, but not for translations at the tibiofemoral articulations. (Debski et al, 2004) applied the Fung’s model and analyzed the viscoelastic properties of the healing goat medial collateral ligament, MCL. They characterized the reduced relaxation function and the elastic response and demonstrated that que quasi-linear viscoelastic model could be successfully used to describe the MCL viscoelastic behavior, during the healing phase.

Moreover, the quasi-linear viscoelastic method is frequently employed with computational resources since it has complex equations and not have any explicit analytical solution. (Xu and Engquist, 2018) proposed a mathematical model for relaxation modulus based on nonlinear model and its numerical solution. They developed a finite-element framework and a numerical algorithm to implement this model for simulating responses under static and dynamic loadings. They validated their model through the utilization of various materials, comparing experimental and numerical results. (Weiss et al, 2001) reviewed earlier and current techniques for the computational modeling of soft tissues, showing relevant concepts under the perspective of continuum mechanics and finite element method. Also emphasized the microstructural influence of soft tissues. (Abramowitch et al., 2004) obtained the constants for quasi-linear viscoelastic model that are used to describe the elastic response, with constants *A* and *B*, and the reduced relaxation function, with constants *C*, and , together with an improved approach that converges to a single solution with minimal variation. They subjected six goat femur-medial collateral ligament-tibia to a uniaxial tensions test, considering the ramp time. In these tests, the convergence has failed for three ligaments, with the biggest errors at constants *A*, *B* e .

The aim of this paper is to explain how to implement numerically the Fung’s quasi-linear viscoelasticity model. Using the C# programming language as in (Wagner et al, 2021) and ASP.NET MVC framework as in (Rick Anderson, 2019) and (Gasparotto, 2014). (Silveira, 2020) developed a REST API capable of performing the necessary calculations for this model and generating a CSV file to compare the numerical results with the experimental ones. The API was developed focusing on scalability, maintainability, and readability, applying some design patterns, as Strategy, and object-oriented programming patterns, as SOLID principles, and some resources were, also, used to optimize that software, as Swagger, for building the user interface.

1. Fung’s quasi-linear viscoelastic model

The quasi-linear viscoelastic model, (Fung, 1993), proposed a non-linearity stress-strain relation, divided in two parts: the reduced relaxation function, which depends only on time, and elastic response, which depends on strain. This model is commonly used for soft tissue with good approximation. The constants needed for the equations are obtained experimentally. However, the Fung’s model has limitations, as for distinct relaxations and strain levels, different constants values must be found.

* 1. Mathematical description

(Fung, 1993) propose equations for elastic response, reduced relaxation function and stress considering one relaxation. For two relaxations, in sequence, considered in this paper, it is necessary to redevelop these equations. Moreover, each parameter will be expressed differently when considering or disregarding ramp time, except for reduced relaxation function.

* + 1. Strain application

The equations used to describe the strain were developed to represent the experiments. When considering ramp time, the strain behavior is expressed by equation (1). When the strain maintains at the maximum value , it represents the relaxation. When stays at the minimum value , represents the recovery. That behavior also is observed in (Duenwald, et al., 2009). When disregard ramp time, the equation (2) can be used, where is considered a constant strain during whole experiment.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (1) |

where, the parameters and represent, respectively, ramp time and strain rate applied in experiment, with used when strain increase and , when it decreases. Furthermore, the parameters , , and are the time limits for each equation, indicating when the strain behavior changes.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (2) |

where, represents the constant strain applied in the experiment.

It is possible to calculate the derivative that will be used in the stress calculations step. The equations (3) and (4) are the time derivative of, respectively, equations (1) and (2).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (3) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (4) |

Fig. 1 show the graphical representation of equations (1) and (3).
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Figure 1. (a) Strain vs time and (b) strain derivative vs time.

Note that, in Fig.1.a, the and the Also, the values of the strain derivatives in Fig.1.b depends on the ramp time. For instance, for the first ramp (0 ≤ t < t0), the calculus was: 6·10-2/30 = 2·10-3 s-1.

* + 1. Stress response

The elastic and the viscoelastic stress responses are covered in this item.

Elastic response

The elastic response corresponds the soft tissue elastic part. As mentioned previously, two equations are used to describe the elastic response. When considering ramp time, an exponential approximation can be used like in research (Abramowitch, 2004).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (5) |

where constants *A*, in Pa (Pascal), and *B*, dimensionless, are material constants and represents, respectively, an elastic stress constant and an elastic power constant. Moreover, as shown previously, the equation (5) can be rewritten with only time dependence.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (6) |

The derivative for elastic response must be calculated because it will be used in equations for describing the stress. The derivative, in time and in strain, of equation (5) are:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (7) |
| , | (8) |

When disregarding ramp time, the elastic response is considered constant for all time domain.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (9) |

Where, is the initial stress applied in the experiment. The derivative in time and in strain for equation (9) is:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (10) |

Reduced relaxation function

The reduced relaxation function represents the viscous portion and occurs for all time domain and with **g**(0) = 1. (Fung, 1993) stated that it can be described in two ways: a) the equation (11), also called the simplified reduced relaxation function, is written with the Prony Series, taking only three elements in the sum, in line with (Babaei et al, 2015), that affirmed that three elements were sufficient for a good approximation. Also, (Funk et al., 2000) stated that more than three elements do not result in a significant gain. b) the equation (12), was developed from Kelvin model, standard linear solid, and uses integrals that only have numerical solutions. Moreover, both equations were implemented and tested in this research, but only the first one was effectively used, as their constants are easier to be calculated experimentally.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (11) |

where and are material dimensionless constants called relaxation modulus and represents the amplitude of the stress curve in relaxation, and is the relaxation time in seconds, also a material constant.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (12) |

where *C*, and are material constants and represents, respectively, a dimensionless relaxation constant, fast and slow relaxation times in seconds. The equation (12) can be rewritten, with the development shown in Appendix:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (13) |

Also calculating the derivative in time of equation (13) for reduced relaxation function, in Appendix:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (14) |

(Fung, 1993) shows three equivalent equations to calculate the stress:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (15) |
| , | (16) |
| , | (17) |

As mentioned above, the elastic response and reduced relaxation function can be expressed only depending on time, so the partial derivative can be changed by total derivative. Moreover, and .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (18) |
| , | (19) |
| . | (20) |

While considering ramp time, all equations return satisfactory results. Disregarding ramp time, the elastic response is constant, and its derivative is zero for all time domain, as shown previously. Thus, the equation (18) cannot be used, because it always returns zero since, and equations (19) and (20) can be rewritten. As shown in Appendix, equations (19) and (20) return to the same equation (21). So, when disregarding ramp time, a unique equation can be used is:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (21) |

Fig. 2.a show the graphical representation of equations (18-20) for the loading presented in Fig. 2.a and equivalently in Fig. 2.b for equation (21). For these graphs, where used the same constants for reduced relaxation function.
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Figure 2. Stress vs time using: (a) equations (18-20) and (b) equation (21).

In the next section the numerical implementation of the Fung's equations is fully explained.

1. numerical implementation

The numerical implementation of Fung’s Model was developed in two steps: creating a class that represents the model and contains the equations for each parameter and its respective derivative in time; and creating a class to orchestrate the operation. Also, it was created an artificial frontier in the code that separate the operation and the model, creating specific contracts for each one. It was done based on Single-Responsibility Principle that gets easier to implement resources, prevents unexpected side-effects and improves maintainability. It is noticeable that the execution time lasted for minutes and, in worst cases, for hours, because the equations used to calculate the stress were not optimized for numeric applications. To improve their performance, it was used the class Task, a native resource from C#, with the aim to allow some steps being processed asynchronously, executing multiple tasks together and reducing the execution time. It was used in both classes mentioned early, for calculating the results, and for iterating the input list, reducing that time to seconds, in worst case.

In Fig. 3 shows the flowchart for main operation that calculates the results for Fung’s model and the respective sub-routine The class that represents the model also contains a method, represented in Fig. 3 as sub-routine “Calculate Results”, that calculate, in parallel, all results necessaries - strain, elastic response, reduced relaxation function and stress – as shown in Fig. 3.b, returning those values in an object. The orchestrator, as called, is responsible to orchestrate the operation, executing each step shown on Fig. 3.a. Furthermore, the request data must be validated previously to avoid any error over code execution.
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(a) (b)

Figure 3. Flowchart for (a) main operation and (b) sub-routine “Calculate Results”.

It was, also necessary to implement numerical methods to deal with integrations and derivatives present in stress and reduced relaxation function equations. For the integrals, the Composite Simpson's Rule, stated in equation (22), available in (Regra de Simpson, 2021) (Regras Compostas, 2021), was used. For the derivatives, the Symmetric Derivative, stated in equation (23), available in (Da Cruz, 2012), was used.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (22) |

where f(x) is an integrable function, *a* and *b* are the limits of integration, x is a differential of the variable *x*, and *N* is the number of subdivisions.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (23) |

where f(x) is a differentiable function and ∆x is a differential of the variable x.

Numerical extrapolation

Besides the numerical implementation of the quasi-linear viscoelastic model, for a better comparison with experimental results, it was necessary to develop a routine for extrapolating the experimental results. For implement this, it was necessary to predict the next values ​​based on the earlier stress curves' behavior. Considering that during relaxation there are two important behaviors occurring: the stress decreases on time and the concavity has upwards direction. These typical behaviors were used to validate each point before applying extrapolation, to remove invalid points that could interfere in the final extrapolated results.
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Figure 4. Flowchart for numerical extrapolation.

The numerical extrapolation was made according to Fig. 4 flowchart. It worth mentioning that after the API receives input data, these are validated to ensure that the file has enough lines for the operation and the parameters that were passed were correct. The operation was divided in two subroutines to improve maintainability and readability, since the software may be used in future research.

1. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The numerical results obtained coincide with the experimental ones. In Fig. 5 shows the comparison between these values when disregarding the ramp time, which is the consideration proposed in the Fung's model, where assumes that the initial stress is applied as fast as a step. These graphs are equivalent to the one shown in Fig.2.b.
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Figure 5. Stress vs time for (a) ACL, (b) LCL, (c) MCL and LCP.

As shown in Fig. 5, it was possible to reproduce the experimental behavior using the Fung’s model equations. The first part of these graphics the experimental and the numerical points are directly compared (up to 300 s), thenceforth the points are just numerical, from extrapolation calculation.

The numerical implementation of the Fung's model was quite successful. All step, both mathematical and software implementation, were fully covered. Beyond the reliable reproduction of experimental results, the extrapolation of the relaxation experimental data, up to an asymptotic behavior, proved to be consistent.
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1. appendix
   1. Development from equation (12) to equation (13)

Based on material properties and the constants definition, can be assumed that , so, , therefore, could be rewritten like:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (i) |

Then:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (ii) |

Applying equation (ii) in (12):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (13) |

* 1. Development from equation (13) to equation (14)

Deriving (13) in function of time:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (iii) |

Applying the definition of calculus to the derivative of a definite integral:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (iv) |

where , and .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (v) |

Applying (v) in (iii):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (14) |
|  |  |

* 1. Development from equation (19) and (20) to equation (21)

Rewriting (19):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , |  |
| , |  |
| . | (21) |

Rewriting (20):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , |  |
| . (repeated) | (21) |
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